

CEDAC Allocation Committee | MINUTES

January 18, 2022, | 12:00 pm | 2001 S State Street, Suite S1-950, Salt Lake City, UT 84190

Meeting called by Todd Richards

Type of meeting Allocation Committee

Facilitator Karen Kuipers

Note taker Erika Fihaki

Committee Members: Todd Richards, Candace Tippetts, Susan Gregory, Amber Measom, Greg Shelton, Mike Anderson, Ryan Henrie

Staff: Karen Kuipers, Erika Fihaki, , Michael Gallegos, Brittney Hemmingway

AGENDA TOPICS

Agenda topic *Welcome* | Presenter *Todd Richards*

Todd Richards opened the meeting and welcomed the Council Members.

Agenda topic *Review Committee Binder Material* Presenter *Karen Kuipers*

Karen reviewed the Binder Material. There was a question about the available funding. Karen directed Council Members to the page in the binder that provides an overview of the available funding and gave a brief overview of that available funding.

Agenda topic *Begin Review of Applications* | Presenter *Todd Richards*

Urban County Review Group: Infrastructure Projects (1 Application): Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District – 4745 S, 4480 W, 4775 S Loop Sidewalk. Karen clarified that this municipality received funding last year for another sidewalk loop project. This is a new sidewalk loop project. There was a question about whether there is any information on how the previous two projects have impacted the neighborhood. Karen reminded the council that we are unable to request new information however we can look to see if there is any available information about the success of the other projects. There was a question about scoring which was addressed by the Council Chair.

- *Application Overview (Including Partnerships & Agency Capacity):* A Council Member described the Application Overview and scope of the project and why they ranked it the way they did. There was conversation about the populations served. This will be addressed in the Project Beneficiaries segment. There was a conversation about whether the project is shovel ready. There was conversation about the amount of time to complete the project and the discrepancies between this section and other sections. Karen clarified that we have asked applicants to provide an accurate timeline, additionally our agency has asked the municipality for clarification on their timeline.
- *Priority Weighting:* A Council Member gave an overview of the application's Priority Weighting and why they ranked it the way they did. There was further discussion about this section.
- *Impact:* A Council Member gave an overview of this section and why they ranked it the way they did. There was discussion about the impact of this project. The Council would like to know the measure of impact from previously completed projects. Karen clarified that the Council may ask what has been measured and to see those measurements.

- *Goals & Outcomes:* A Council Member gave an overview of the Goals and Outcomes of the project and why they ranked it the way they did. The Council would like to see their outreach survey data.
- *Project Beneficiaries:* A Council Member gave an overview of this section and why they ranked it the way they did. There was a question about how the populations served was calculated. There was further discussion about the project beneficiaries. The Council would like to know what percentage of households have ADA issues that would benefit from this project. The council further discussed this section.
- *Budget & Leverage:* A Council Member gave an overview of the project’s Budget & Leveraging. There was further discussion about this section. There were questions about ADA accessibility which Karen was able to answer.
- *Sustainability:* A Council Member gave an overview of the sustainability of the project.

CLARIFICATION ON LESSER AMMOUNTS: If the agency receives no grants funds for this project, they will likely have to wait to complete the project until they obtain funds through other grants. If they receive a lesser amount than detailed in this budget, they will ask the Township and MSD if they would be willing to fund the remainder of this project. It is typically more likely that a project will be funded through the MSD if a good portion of it can be obtained through grants.

Action items	Person responsible	Deadline
Clarifying Question: The Council would like to know the measure of impact from previously completed projects. Please provide data/documentation of such.	HCD Staff	TBD
Clarifying Question: The Council would like to see the survey data from the organizations Community Outreach for this project.	HCD Staff	TBD
Clarifying Question: The Council would like to know how populations served was calculated.	HCD Staff	TBD
Clarifying question: The Council would like to know what percentage of households have ADA issues that would benefit from this project.	HCD Staff	TBD

Agenda topic *Other Business* | **Presenter** *Karen Kuipers*

Karen reminded the Council that we will be canceling all in-person meetings until the first part of February. Karen confirmed with the Council Members that they are able to access ZoomGrants.

Agenda topic *Identify Staff Follow-up* | Presenter *Karen Kuipers*

Action items	Person responsible	Deadline
Obtain answers to clarifying questions	HCD Staff	TBD

Agenda topic *Assignments for Next Meeting* | Presenter *Karen Kuipers*

Review of Infrastructure applications (2 applications): MSD 9130 W, 2600 S to 2700 south & Millcreek City Jordan River Trailhead

Agenda topic *Adjourn* | Presenter *Todd Richards*

Amber made a motion to adjourn. Greg seconded the motion. Meeting adjourned 1:16 pm

NEXT MEETING: January 25th, 2022, 12:00 - 2:00, WebEx