CEDAC Allocation Committee | MINUTES

February 16, 2021 | 12:00 pm | 2001 S State Street, Suite S2-950, Salt Lake City, UT 84190

Meeting called by Michael Anderson

Type of meeting Allocation Committee

Facilitator Karen Kuipers

Note taker Erika Fihaki

Committee Members: Susan Gregory, Amber Measom, Greg Shelton, Camille Bowen, Mike Anderson, Leslie Jones, Ryan Henrie, Shelly Johnson, Todd Richards

Staff: Karen Kuipers, Erika Fihaki, Amanda Cordova, Vikram Ravi, Mary Leonard

AGENDA TOPICS

Agenda topic Welcome & Reading of "No Anchor Location" Statement Presenter Committee Chair, Michael Anderson

Chair Michael Anderson called the meeting and welcomed Committee Members. He then read the No Anchor Location statement.

Agenda topic Approval of February 9th Meeting Minutes Presenter Michael Anderson

Michael asked the Committee Members if they had an opportunity to review the minutes and if there were any changes that needed to be made. There were none. Susan Gregory made a motion to approve the minutes. Amber Measom seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote.

Agenda topic Staff Follow-up | Presenter Amanda Cordova & Vikram Ravi

City of South Salt Lake – South Salt Lake Opportunity Center: Amanda has not received a response from the City of South Salt Lake regarding the clarifying questions. In light of this we will review their response in the next meeting.

Volunteer Hours Tracking Form: Vikram reminded the committee to enter their volunteer hours on the Volunteer Tracking Form. It was asked to clarify for the committee where they should be entering their hours. Amanda showed the committee where the link is located in the Meeting Invitation for each meeting. He also shared the link in the chat for the meeting.

Agenda topic Intent to Abstain/Recuse from Review of Week 3 Applications Presenter Committee Members

Michael asked the committee to state any conflicts or intent to abstain/recuse from review. There were none stated.

Agenda topic Discussion Week 3 Applications | Presenter Karen Kuipers

1. Greater Salt Lake Metropolitan Service District – 4805 S, 4480 W, 4835 Loop Sidewalk: Karen clarified that the Urban County applications are scored differently than the Non-Profit applications. There was some discussion about whether this project had been funded in the past

because it seems familiar. By the end of the meeting Karen was able to confirm that the prior year application was for a different, though close by, sidewalk loop. That project received partial funding and the project was completed on time.

Application Overview – Leslie provided an overview of the application for this project. There was discussion about the specifics of this project.

Priority Weighting – Susan gave an overview of the priority weighting of this project and why she scored the way she did. The committee had some discussion about this.

Impact – Susan gave an overview of the project impact and why she scored it the way she did. There was further discussion about this category. Committee would like staff to clarify if they have actual numbers for ADA needs in the neighborhood where the project will be completed. Goals & Outcomes – Amber gave an overview of the goals and outcomes of this project. The committee discussed their reasons for scoring it the way they did.

Project Beneficiaries – Mike gave an overview of the project beneficiaries. There was further discussion by the committee about this category.

Budget – Todd gave an overview of the project budget and discussed why he scored it the way he did. The committee discussed this category and why they scored it the way they did.

Leverage – Todd gave an overview of the project leverage and why he scored it the way he did.

There was some further discussion by the committee about this category.

Sustainability – Ryan gave an overview of the project sustainability. Committee would like clarification on what the sidewalk repair buy-in is.

2. Greater Salt Lake Metropolitan Service District – Magna Downtown Revitalization Expansion

Application Overview – Shelly gave an overview of the application for this project. The committee discussed this application and why they scored it the way they did.

Priority Weighting – Mike gave an overview of the priority weighting for this project and discussed his reasons for scoring it the way he did. The committee further discussed their reasons for scoring it the way they did.

Impact – Becky gave an overview of the impact of this project. The committee discussed their reasons for scoring the way they did.

Goals & Outcomes – Mike gave an overview of the goals and outcomes of this project. He discussed his reasons for scoring it the way he did.

Project Beneficiaries – Becky gave an overview of the beneficiaries of this project. There was no further discussion about this category.

Budget – Greg gave an overview of the budget for this project and discussed his reasons for scoring it the way he did. The committee discussed the project budget and why they scored it the way they did.

Leverage – Greg gave an overview of the leveraging used to complete this project. There was no further discussion about this category.

Sustainability – Ryan gave an overview of the sustainability of this project and discussed why he scored the way he did.

Action items Person responsible Deadline

Kearns Application: Do they have numbers for ADA needs in affected neighborhood, those who would be directly impacted by this project. Amanda Cordova

02/23/2021

Action items Person responsible Deadline

Kearns Application: Clarify what the Sidewalk Repair Buy- Amanda Cordova 02/23/2021 in is and provide further information about how that increases sustainability.

Agenda topic Identify Staff Follow-up | Presenter Amanda Cordova

County staff will reach out to applicant organizations to clarify the questions listed above.

Agenda topic Assignments for Next Meeting | Presenter Michael Anderson

- Midvale City Corporation Jordan River Parkway Improvement Project
- Midvale City Corporation Main Street Art House Project

Chair Mike Anderson would like the assignments made last week to stand for the duration of the allocation process. The committee agreed with this.

Agenda topic Other Business | Presenter Vikram Ravi

There was no other business to discuss.

Agenda topic Adjourn | Presenter Michael Anderson

Meeting Adjourned at 1:59 pm.